

Making renewable hydrogen cost-competitive

Policy instruments for supporting green H₂

Matthias Deutsch, Agora Energiewende Matthias Schimmel, Guidehouse ONLINE EVENT, 08 JULY 2021



Agora Energy – Who we are

- Think Tank and Policy Lab
- Roughly 100 energy transition experts
- Independent and non-partisan with diverse financing structure
- Our vision: a prosperous and carbon-neutral global economy by 2050
- Science-based solutions and policy advise to deliver clean power, heat and industries in Germany, Europe and around the globe
- **Programmes in ~20 countries**, with offices in Berlin, Brussels, Beijing and Bangkok



Background: Germany's demand for H_2 amounts to 63 TWh (2030) in the Agora "Climate-Neutral DE 2045" scenario – for safeguarding security of Energiewende supply in the energy system and to create a climate neutral industry.



Prognos et al. (2021); Note: Hydrogen only. In addition, Germany will need 158 TWh of Power-to-Liquid by 2045.





Project overview: Making renewable hydrogen cost-competitive

Commissioned by: Agora Energiewende

Partners: Guidehouse, with research support from Becker Büttner Held

Question: Which policy instruments are best-suited to bring green H_2 to the applications that really need it to become climate-neutral?

Methodology:

- \rightarrow Analysis of regulatory context and economics of renewable H₂
- Analysis of policy instruments needed in 2020s and outlook beyond 2030
 Results:
- → Policy instrument factsheets
- → Legal analyses of each instrument by BBH (forthcoming)



Download:

Publication



Conclusions drawn by Agora Energiewende



There is a limited set of applications in all sectors that urgently need renewable hydrogen to become climate-neutral.

Applications that really need green molecules to become climate-neutral, in addition to green electrons

Green molecules needed?	Industry	Transport	Power sector	Buildings
Uncontroversial	 Reaction agents (DRI steel) Feedstock (ammonia, chemicals) 	 Long-haul aviation Maritime shipping 	 Long-term storage for variable renewable energy back-up 	 District heating (residual heat load *)
Controversial	• High-temperature heat	 Trucks and buses ** Short-haul aviation and shipping 	 Absolute size of need given other flexibility and storage options 	
Bad idea	 Low-temperature heat 	· Cars · Light-duty vehicles		Individual buildings

* After using renewable energy, ambient and waste heat as much as possible. Especially relevant for large existing district heating systems with high flow temperatures. Note that according to the UNFCCC Common Reporting Format, district heating is classified as being part of the power sector.

** Series production currently more advanced on electric than on hydrogen for heavy duty vehicles and busses. Hydrogen heavy duty to be deployed at this point in time only in locations with synergies (ports, industry clusters).

Agora Energiewende (2021)



Ramping up renewable hydrogen will require extra policy support that is focused on rapid cost reductions.



Gas for Climate (2020), Agora Energiewene & AFRY (2021)

Renewable hydrogen cost gap:

- → Future electrolysis capacity for renewable H_2 estimated at 33 GW to 90 GW.
- How to do project developers want to bridge the cost gap?
- → Anticipating higher willingness to pay among customers and/or some form of policy support?

The cost of renewable hydrogen depends on:

- Cost of renewable electricity (which is on track to become cheaper anyway)
- → Annual **operating hours** of the electrolyser
- → Electrolyser system costs



Higher capacity factors will lower the cost of hydrogen



 Cost of hydrogen can be lowered by distributing the investment costs over as many annual operating hours as possible

At an electrolyser system cost of

- → €620/kW, more than 5,400 hours are required to bring production cost below €2/kg (~ fossil-based H₂ with/without carbon capture)
- → €160/kW, just 1,500 hours is sufficient to hit breakeven – making electrolysis in Southern Europe based on solar PV alone increasingly attractive.
- → Note that operating costs would increase disproportionately at more than 5,000 operating hours if electrolysers use grid electricity and operate based on market prices for H₂ and electricity.

The costs of electrolysers will fall given economies of scale and learning-by-doing effects, but such deployment will only materialize with predictable and stable hydrogen demand.



The price range for fossil-based H₂ reflects an implicit carbon price of €50/tCO₂ in 2020 increasing to €100/tCO₂ in 2030. For natural gas, a price of €20/MWh is assumed. The capture rate for fossil-based H₂ with carbon capture is assumed to be around 75%.

Guidehouse (2021) based on BNEF (2021), Prognos et al. (2020), Hydrogen Europe (2020), Gas for Climate (2020), Agora Energiewene & AFRY (2021)

- There is nothing automatic about those cost reductions. Someone needs to pay the learning curve.
- Electrolyser manufacturers need predictable
 pipeline of projects to invest in GW plants
- → Yet this predictability is only possible through policy support, given the current economic uncompetitiveness of renewable hydrogen.
- → Using taxpayer money to support renewable hydrogen needs **basic agreement** on where to prioritise investment.
- → Therefore, renewable hydrogen needs to be channelled into uncontroversial applications.
- → Conversely, a lack of common ground might delay the renewable hydrogen ramp-up, given the integral role of policy support.



CO₂ prices in the 2020s will not be high enough to deliver a stable demand for renewable hydrogen, reinforcing the need for a hydrogen policy framework.

Impact of carbon pricing on hydrogen production costs in 2030 180 160 140 [(120 100 100 80 2030 average price renewable H₂ (=3.7 $\frac{\xi}{k_q}$ H₂) 60 40 20 0 50 0 100 200 300 [€/t CO₂] fossil-based H₂ with carbon capture natural gas fossil-based H₂ renewable H₂ For natural gas, a price of €20/MWh is assumed. The capture rate for fossil-based H₂ with carbon capture is assumed to be around 75%

- Carbon pricing will be a cornerstone of the needed policy framework but is has its limits in the short to medium term.
- → Even at CO₂ prices of €100-200/t, the EU ETS will not sufficiently incentivize renewable hydrogen production.
- Given low EU ETS prices, additional policy support instruments will be needed for a considerable time
- → A general renewable hydrogen quota is not sufficiently targeted to induce adoption in the most important applications and comes with further problems related to technological compatibility, distribution and efficiency.
- → Therefore, we need other policy instruments.



A policy framework to ramp up the market for renewable hydrogen should initially target the applications where hydrogen is clearly needed and a no-regret option.

→ Carbon contracts for difference (CCfD) will enable European industry to start the transition to climate-neutral products.

→ A power-to-liquid (PtL) quota in aviation of 10% by 2030 would deliver clear market signals that Europe intends to import considerable volumes of liquid e-fuels

- → Gas power plants need to be 100% H₂-ready to back up renewables and meet residual heat load in district heating
- \rightarrow Scalable green lead markets could help to create a business case for renewable H₂
- \rightarrow **H**₂ supply contracts can enable competition between production in the EU and abroad.
- → The required policy support for renewable H₂ at the EU level is anticipated to cost €10-24 bn p.a.

Overview of needed policy support for renewable $\rm H_2$ in Germany and the EU

		Billion EUR per year			
		Germany		U	
Support instruments for renewable hydrogen	Low	High	Low	High	
Carbon Contracts for the transformation of 33% DE / 50% EU primary steel production capacity to H ₂ -DRI with current free allocation regime (2022–2035/2040) The instrument facilitates investments in breakthrough technologies. By offsetting the additional operating cost of breakthrough technologies, a CCfD de-risks long-term investments. <u>Cost recovery:</u> Through climate levy or EU-ETS revenues	1.1*	2.7*	4.1*	10.2*	
CCfD for the transformation of 33% DE / 50% primary steel production capacity to H_2 -DRI with effective CO ₂ -price gradually increasing from 50 \notin /t (2021) to 90 \notin /t in 2040		1.6*	0*	6.1*	
PtL quota for aviation (2025-2030 (10%) & 2030–2050 (increase to 100% by 2050)) By setting an EU-wide 10% quota in aviation, demand for e-kerosene is created, leading to a ramp-up in renewable H_2 and PtL production and further technological learning. A long-term pathway must be towards 100% climate-neutral e-kerosene. <u>Cost recovery:</u> Additional costs are passed on to end-users (aviation passengers)		1.9	10.3	14	
 The cost projection for the CCfD instrument in line 1 and 2 represent alternative and mutually ex to the evolution of Europe's carbon leakage policy. Note that Guidehouse assumes an aviation report for a more comprehensive overview of policy support instruments.	xclusive : quota o	scenarios f only 5%	with re . See th	gards e	

Agora Energiewende (2021) based on Guidehouse (2021)





The instruments need to be complemented by regulation for sustainability and system integration.



Hydrogen from electrolysis...

- is most sustainable with 100% RES
- can lead to high CO₂ emissions otherwise
- needs clear criteria for climate neutrality and a roadmap for applying the criteria (industry may require different treatment)
- needs appropriate siting for sustainability and system integration, given grid constraints

Fossil-based hydrogen with carbon capture...

- has similar emission intensity as electrolysis with grid intensity of 100g CO₂/kWh
- → does **not need** additional policy support
- → should comply with strict **sustainability** criteria
- criteria should be ratcheted up (climateneutrality would require negative emissions)



Renewable hydrogen needs major additional renewable energy deployment.



- → Pace of renewable H₂ expansion will largely depend on the growth in renewables
- → 801 GW wind and solar PV are needed by 2030 to reduce GHG emissions by 55% relative to 1990, according to the European Commission's Climate Target Impact Assessment.
- → Hydrogen ambitions beyond the COM's Impact Assessment may even require greater renewable energy expansion.





Key conclusions

There is a limited set of applications in all sectors that urgently need renewable hydrogen to become climate-neutral.



3

Ramping up renewable hydrogen will require extra policy support that is focused on rapid cost reductions.

CO₂ prices in the 2020s will not be high enough to deliver stable demand for renewable hydrogen, underscoring the need for a hydrogen policy framework.

A policy framework to ramp up the market for renewable hydrogen should initially target the applications where hydrogen is clearly needed and a no-regret option.



Study conducted by Guidehouse



Regulatory architecture – Building blocks of a hydrogen economy

Enabling ramp-up in demand and supply of renewable H2 requires, next to policy instruments, an accompanying architecture of support



idehouse

H₂ supply contracts – bridging the cost gap

- **Goal**: Cover for the difference between the lowest possible renewable H_2 production cost and the highest willingness to pay in a double auction model.
- **Design**: H_2 supply contracts pay for defined time spans a fixed premium in EUR/t H_2 . An intermediary entity administers the public funds to cover the difference. The instrument's focus is on the (German) industry sector.
- **Timing**: In the **short term** (towards 2030), H₂ supply contracts must be tied to a fixed delivery location within Germany (integrated projects). In the **long term** (beyond 2030) the goal is to buy hydrogen from producers and sell it to end users via auctions.
- Legal analysis: The instrument is in principle legally feasible. The instrument qualifies as state aid since the funds are from tax revenues. It must therefore be notified with the European Commission. Due to civil law regulations, the contractual period should be limited to 5, maximum 10 years.

Supporting H_2 imports with $\in 0.8$ bn to $\in 5.3$ bn year year can cover the difference between supply and demand effectively





idehouse

Carbon Contracts for Difference (CCfDs) – de-risiking breakthrough technologies

- **Goal**: Facilitate investments by industry in breakthrough technologies by compensating the difference between the effective CO₂ price (EU-ETS) and the mitigation costs of a breakthrough technology.
- **Design**: A CCfD can be awarded to industry on a project-by-project basis or in a competitive auctioning scheme. If the awarded party sells its product as green against a premium that remunerates the implied emission reduction, no funding is paid. A **labelling** of climate-friendly basic materials could incentivise off-takers to pay that premium, thereby creating **green lead markets**.
- **Timing**: First CCfDs will start in 2022. With H_2 becoming cost competitive, increasing CO₂-prices and the development of green lead markets, the instrument can likely be phased out in the late 2030s.
- Legal analysis: Legal feasibility depends on the specific design. A state aid notification would need to carried out. The CCfD must be designed in such a way that overfunding is avoided. The contract duration must therefore be limited from the outset and the tenders must be equipped with maximum bid limits. Due to the permissible aid intensities, 100% of the eligible costs can only be funded through tenders.

Enabling breakthrough technologies in industry requires funding in Germany between €1.1 and €2.7 bn for Germany and €4.1-€10.2 bn for the EU









PtL quota for aviation – putting aviation on track to net-zero

- **Goal**: A PtL quota in the aviation sector creates demand for e-kerosene, a H_2 derivative.
- **Design**: A sustainable aviation fuel (SAF) quota of at least 10% is implemented with a sub quota for PtL of at least 5%, to be reviewed in 2025, and, if feasible, increased to at least 10%. **Obligated parties** are all kerosene distributors for aviation in the EU. The price premium could be passed on through the airlines to consumers.
- **Timing**: The quota obligation can only start a few years after its announcement, e.g. in 2025. PtL and other SAFs must cover 100% of kerosene by 2050. The PtL quota must therefore increase in coordination with a quota for SAFs.
- Legal analysis: The instrument is in principle legally feasible. Non-European producers must be granted market access. The quota must be technically achievable and place no undue burden on obligated parties to fulfill the principle of proportionality. It may be challenged if the quota covers only e-kerosene and excludes bio-based kerosene.

Putting aviation on track could lead to costs at EU level between €5.2-€7 bn per year and in Germany to costs between €0.7-€1 bn per year



Support for H₂-fuelled CHPs – providing flexibility to the power system

- **Goal**: Plants receive a fixed feed-in-premium per unit of electricity generated, covering both the incremental CAPEX as well as the OPEX cost difference between using H_2 instead of natural gas, thereby incentivising the use of H_2 .
- **Design**: Awarded CHP plants are required to physically consume pure H₂. This means that they need to be located close to electrolysers or H₂ networks. To ensure that the supported capacities are used as a flexible source, **operating hours** should be limited to around 3000 hours p.a. System-friendly dispatch is incentivised through electricity spot market prices.
- **Timing:** This instrument could be introduced in the next legislative term and be replaced by a H_2 quota in gas plants once coal-based electricity generation has stopped.
- Legal analysis: Support should be regulated and conducted separate from tendering under sec. 8a KWKG. The operating hours may be adapted to simplify the integration in the KWKG or allow stronger orientation towards building capacity. The total duration of support is not specified, but rather refers to the depreciation period.

Flexible electricity supply requires renewable H_2 , amounting to funding of $\in 0.3$ to $\in 1.1$ bn per year



Guidehouse (2021)

idehouse





Labelling of green products – establishing green lead markets

Ø

Goal: The goal is to refinance investments in new production processes based e.g. on renewable H_2 .

- **Design**: Labels are likely to be most effective in combination with other instruments, such as **carbon limits on final products**. As the initial demand-side pull will not be enough to justify investments in H₂ technologies, additional instruments such as a **CCfD** may be required. The labelling is mandatory for the covered **scope**, i.e. basic materials, which may include steel, basic chemicals, and cement. Additional costs are passed on to the consumer via the final products.
- **Timing**: The label could be introduced as soon as possible and kept thereafter. It should be complimented by additional supply-side policies.
- Legal analysis: A legal basis for the introduction of the label is required. For implementation at EU level, integration into the Ecodesign Directive may be considered. The idea of a label may also be addressed in the context of the RED III. Alternatively, a new regulation may be considered. For reasons of proportionality or appropriateness, it would be necessary to gradually introduce the necessary measures to create transparency and establish quality standards.

Copying the Energy Performance Rating Labels model (left) to make **material CO₂ performance rating labels**





A regulatory roadmap towards a liquid hydrogen market

Different policy instruments require different timelines

		2021–2030 : supporting H ₂ market uptake Beyond 2030 : Establishing full-fledged H ₂ markets			
		Carbon Contracts for Difference			
		PtL quota for aviation (5%) ² PtL quota for aviation (>5%)			
De	Demand •	Support for H_2 -fuelled CHP* plants H_2 quota in gas power plants			
		Carbon pricing (EU ETS/BEHG)			
Lead		Public procurement			
	markets	Labelling of green products			
	Supply	H₂ supply contracts (phase 1) H₂ supply contracts (phase 2)			
		Investment aid			
		Sector focus: Industry Transport Power Cross-sector			
Guidehouse (2021)					

Agora Energiewende

Anna-Louisa-Karsch-Str.2 10178 Berlin **T** +49 (0)30 700 1435 - 000 **F** +49 (0)30 700 1435 - 129

www.agora-energiewende.de

 Please subscribe to our newsletter via www.agora-energiewende.de
 www.twitter.com/AgoraEW





Thank you for your attention!

Questions or Comments? Feel free to contact us:

matthias.deutsch@agora-energiewende.de matthias.schimmel@guidehouse.com





Publications on climate-neutrality, hydrogen and industry

