

# Towards a green recovery

Analysis of Recovery Plans in Bulgaria, Croatia, Greece and Romania

Dr. Radostina Primova, Center for the Study of Democracy

Agora Energiewende, CSD, EPG, NOA, FSB

SOFIA/ BERLIN 17 JUNE 2021

#### Supported by:



Federal Ministry for the Environment, Nature Conservation and Nuclear Safety



#### based on a decision of the German Bundestag



## Comparing SEE RRPs



#### State of play of countries' RRP submissions

- 4 countries have not submitted their NRRPs yet (Bulgaria, Estonia, Malta and the Netherlands)
- > 53% of all assessed recovery funding (€223bn) is allocated to measures that will likely have no climate effect or a climate effect which cannot yet be assessed
- Review of the RRPs by the Commission within 2 months of receipt against 2 major criteria: 37% green spending and 20% share for digital transition
- Approval of the plans by the Council within one month on a case-by-case basis





#### Green spending in the RRP and the 37% target





#### Green spending in the RRP and the 37% target





### How green are the recovery measures of SEE countries?

		GREECE				
€10.05 BN	€1.54	BN €2.01 BN	€7.17 BN	I		€6.41 BN
		BULGARIA				
€1.5 BN	€2 BN	€0.2 BN		€2.4 BN		€0.9 BN
		CROATIA				
€1 BN	€0.45 BN	€0.61 BN		€1.73 BN		
ROMANIA						
€14.48 BN	•	€6.15 BN €	5.1 BN	€9 BI	N	€6.42 BN
				N1 (1	D 141	
Likely climate effect but direct	tion not assessable	Likely no significar	nt climate effect	Negative	Positive	Very positive
Source: Based on the Green Recovery Tracker and expert assessments						







#### Sectoral overview – BG, RO, GR, HR

#### Greece

- Mobility 15.99%
- Industry & Manufacturing 0.43%
- Energy 11.90%
- Buildings 10.87%
- Agriculture, land use and forestry 4.34%
- Other / Cross-sectoral 56.47%

#### Croatia

- Mobility 17%
- Industry & Manufacturing 7%
- Energy 17%
- Buildings 20%
- Agriculture, land use and forestry 3%
- Other / Cross-sectoral 36%



### Bulgaria

- Mobility 12.98%
- Industry & Manufacturing 20.74%
- Energy 10.22%
- Buildings 20.2%
- Agriculture, land use and forestry 6.61%
- Other / Cross-sectoral 29.25%

#### Romania

- Mobility 23.38%
  Industry & Manufacturing 0%
  Energy 4.62%
  - Buildings 14.15%
  - Agriculture, land use and forestry 13.37%
  - Other / Cross-sectoral 44.49%

Source: Based on the Green Recovery Tracker and expert assessments



#### **Country comparison**

- > RRPs not linked to the long-term decarbonisation strategies or a comprehensive energy strategy
- Weak complementarity between the energy projects in the RRP and OPs
- > Strong focus on large-scale investments and insufficient measures to support decentralization
- > The size and scope of certain key green transition measures are still unclear
- Different coal phase-out commitments and timelines in GR, RO and HR
- Investments with possible fossil fuel loopholes (hydrogen infrastructure, expanding the natural gas distribution system and CCS pilot projects)
- > Investments into building or industry projects without clear sustainability standards
- > No specific strategies/measures for hydrogen utilization in energy intensive industries and CCU
- Limited investments in the modernization and expansion of the grid



#### Different approaches to green recovery

- The Greek RRP seems to be the most ambitious one in terms of increasing the power storage potential (€10.4 billion will be invested in upgrading the energy infrastructure, and the promotion of green innovation and technologies; 700 MW battery storage tender)
- The Bulgarian, Greek and Romanian RRPs put a strong emphasis on their buildings renovation programs, however, lack specific renewable energy and energy efficiency targets
- > A strong focus on hydrogen utilization in the transport sector in the Croatian RRP
- > Risks for lock-in in gas-based infrastructure in Croatia, Romania and Bulgaria
- Possible transformative shift in the Bulgarian RRP via the inclusion of an offshore Black Sea wind project and the launch of solar PV and battery storage projects



#### **Good practices**

- > The "Renovation Wave" programme in the Romanian RRP
- Significant support for the storage sector (both battery and pumped) with a total budget of €450 mil in the Greek RRP and a 700 MW battery storage tender as well as an energy storage regulatory framework in preparation
- Construction of a pilot offshore wind farm in the Black Sea to be potentially included in the next version of the Bulgarian RRP
- Modernistaion of the power transmission and distribution systems, as well as the district heating system in the Croatian RRP
- Via Transilvanica project in Romania (build 1,000 km of paths suitable for walking, cycling or horse-riding, crossing Transylvania)
- Integration of 30 MW electrolysers for the production of green hydrogen and the development of H2 charging stations in Croatia



#### **Bad practices**

- Missing specific renewable energy and energy efficiency targets in the Romanian and Bulgarian RRP
- Support for **natural gas pipelines** for future hydrogen transport in Bulgaria
- Boosting the natural gas transportation system with additional investments in the Croatian RRP and the deployment of CCS for natural gas that could lead to a fossil fuel lock-in
- Plans to blend green hydrogen with natural gas in the Romanian RRP and inject it into the gas grid
- The lack of a coherent plan for the design and implementation of the sustainable energy measures within the Greek OPs (ca. €40bn over the 2021-2027 period)
- > No funding for implementing energy poverty measures in the Greek RRP



## Zooming into Bulgaria's RRP





#### **Bulgarian energy and climate targets 2030**

- → Bulgarian 2030 energy and climate targets reveal limited progress on energy efficiency, renewable energy and regional power market integration goals.
- → Stranded assets in coal, gas and nuclear as part of Bulgaria's energy mix in 2030
- → Unrealistic expectation for the **growing use of biomass** in heating and cooling
- No specific measures for supporting prosumers, energy communities and vulnerable consumers
- → The long-term **decarbonisation strategy for 2050** still pending



#### Key transformative investment opportunities as priorities for climate action

- A dedicated program to finance single-house energy efficiency measures and decentralized RES systems
- → Support for the modernization and digitalization of the power transmission system operator
- → A dedicated economic transformation programme including 3 major funds (technological modernization fund, green transition fund and digitalization fund)
- → Construction of a pilot offshore wind farm in the Black Sea (suggestion)
- → A sub-program for hydrogen research, including the funding of fuel cell applications in buildings and sustainable transport (suggestion)
- → Research of the country's geo-thermal capacity with detailed regional mapping (suggestion)
- Kick-starting a sub-program on recycling raw materials to foster the industrial decarbonization (suggestion)



#### **Flagships Project Overview**

- → 100 MW Pilot Offshore Wind Park in the Bulgarian section of the Black Sea
- 87 500 tons of CO2/yr or emissions saved
- The cost is estimated at around EUR 222 million and the project will be implemented by end of 2025
- The project may be supported by the Green Pillar of the National Recovery and Resilience Plan
- → Reforms
- The pilot project will serve to unlock the huge untapped Bulgarian offshore wind potential and pave the way for future investments on a market basis
- It will lay the legislative, regulatory and infrastructural foundations for future private investments in offshore energy
- → Finance
- The project will be financed by the RRF and will be implemented by the state-owned National Electricity Company (NEC)
- The estimated LCOE price is around EUR 68/MWh with the project's lifespan to be between 25 and 35 years



#### **Conclusions and recommendations**

- The least costly way to decarbonize is electrification and fastest possible phase-out of lignite-fired power plants
- → A moderately ambitious policy strategy will not deliver net-zero carbon emissions by 2050
- → Transparent instruments for monitoring project implementation in real-time
- → Weak complementarity between the energy projects in the RRP and OPs
- → Innovative financial instruments for promoting energy efficiency and RES co-ownership
- → Industrial innovation and the uptake of hydrogen and synthetic fuels in industrial processes,
- → The need for e-mobility plan, support programmes for electro mobility and optimization/modernization of freight transport





# Thank you for your attention

Questions or Comments? Feel free to contact me:

radostina.primova@csd.bg